

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,495	07/02/2003	Tienteh Chen	200209928-1	4418
22879	7590 12/01/2005		EXAM	INER
	PACKARD COMPANY	SCHWARTZ, PAMELA R		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	INS, CO 80527-2400	1774		

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/613,495	CHEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pamela R. Schwartz	1774
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (; iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) T</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-20</u> is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light section.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apprincity documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/613,495

Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

1. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakano et al. (2003/0186003) for reasons of record and for reasons given below.

- 2. Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakano et al. (2003/0186003) as applied to claim1, 3 and 4 above, and further in view of Shih et al. (6,780,924) or applicants' admissions at [0014] of the specification for reasons of record and for reasons given below.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakano et al. (2003/0186003) as applied to claims 1-8 above, and further in view of Kaneko et al. (US2001/0004487) for reasons of record and for reasons given above.
- 4. Claims 1-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicants' admissions in their 131 Declaration. The papers filed by applicants admit that both the base paper and the ink receptive coating of their invention were known at the time of their invention. Based upon this admission, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to coat the commercially available base paper with a known ink receptive composition at a conventional coating weight in order to obtain an ink receptive medium.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed June 27, 2005 and August 24, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner has considered applicants' 131 Declaration. With respect to the Declaration, while applicants state in their specification a smoothness and porosity for the tradenamed base sheet, there is nothing to indicate the smoothness and porosity of the tradenamed base sheet at the time of creation of the submitted invention disclosure and graph (exhibits A and B with the Declaration).

The submitted exhibits do not mention either of these properties and since the properties of tradenamed materials may change over time, applicants may not rely on the recitation of properties set forth in their specification unless they can demonstrate that the properties of the material were the same at the time of the creation of their exhibits.

Additionally, applicants' admissions that both the base paper and coating are conventional obviate the invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to coat a known base paper with an ink receptive coating to render the paper ink receptive and to determine the minimum coat weight required to absorb ink solvent. It is also noted that applicants' coating weight is within the range conventionally used in the art. This is a well known parameter that is obvious to optimize, normally so that the minimum required coating is applied to yield desired printing characteristics.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela Schwartz whose telephone number is (571) 272-1528.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye, can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,495 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRSchwartz November 26, 2005

> PAMELA P. SOHWARTZ PRIMARY EXAMINER